

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,863	08/20/2003	Jonathan C. Heller	29191-707	7685
21971	7590 10/13/2005		EXAMINER	
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI			DEJONG, ERIC S	
650 PAGE MI	ILL ROAD CA 94304-1050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,863	HELLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric S. DeJong	1631	

	Eric S. DeJong	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or			•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);		•
(c)⊠ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	, ,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) anowed Claim(s) objected to:	•	•	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,7-10,14,15,17-20,22-27,29-31,38,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-6,11-13,21,32</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
see continuation sheet. 12. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>17 June 2005</u>	·
13. Other:			
	•		

Continuation of item #3. NOTE:

Since the set of claims filed by applicant on 09/12/2005 are not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.121, the proposed amendments to the claims contained therein have not been considered by the Examiner. Further, arguments filed by applicants on 09/12/2005 have been considered only with respect to the previous version of the claims filed by applicants on 05/16/2005.

Continuation of item #11. NOTE:

The rejections in the previous Office action mailed 06/15/2005 are maintained for reasons of record.

Claims 1, 3, 7-10, 14, 15, 17-20, 22-27, 29-31, 38, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olek et al. in view of Fouillet et al. as evidenced by Chambers et al.

Applicants argue that cited references, individually or in combination, fail to teach or suggest the claimed limitation of "marketing diagnostic products that use said representative patterns wherein said diagnostic products are marketed with a disposable microfluidics device". Applicants further assert that the claim term "specific identity" is used throughout the specification and in the claims in its ordinary meaning and that a specific identity of a protein would include, for example, amino acid sequence or its function.

Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. Applicants statement that the claim term "specific identity" includes, for example, the function of a protein is found inconsistent with the instantly claimed invention. In performing the method steps a) through c) of claim 1 will result in identifying proteins from clinical and control samples, thereby identifying proteins that provide for a reproducible representative pattern for a generic clinical phenotypic state. As such, the proteins present in a representative pattern have a been identified to the extent that they are involved, either directly or indirectly, with a particular clinical phenotypic state and, therefore, their function is at least partially established. Thus, the argument that that the claim term "specific identity" of a protein may include the function of said protein is inconsistent the instantly claimed method.

Applicants remaining arguments and characterization of the cited references are not directed to the specific merits presented in the previous Office action and are, therefore, not found persuasive.

FD.

EUT

.

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jun 50ether 2005

.

2

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/645,863	HELLER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Eric S. DeJong	1631

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

The amendment document filed on <u>12 September 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

☐ 1. Ameno ☐ A ☐ B. l	MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: Iments to the specification: Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. Other
	ct: Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. Other
☐ A. ·	Iments to the drawings: The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. Other
□ A. A. B. C. □ C. □	Iments to the claims: A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. Other:
http://www.uspto.g	ation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at ov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.